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Abstract. -In this paper, comparative evaluation of different techniques for harmonic reduction in input current of ac-dc converter is presented. Converters employing ac side switching, resonant converter, active clamped boost converter are simulated in PSIM and their performance under varying load condition with load voltage regulation is evaluated. The results revealed that active clamped boost converter topology has better performance among the cases under study.
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1. Introduction

AC-DC converters are used in adjustable speed drives, SMPS, UPS etc. Most of power Electronics (PE) system which get connected to AC utility mains use diode rectifier at the input. The non-linear nature of diode rectifier causes significant line current harmonic generation, thus, they degrade power quality, increases losses, failure of some crucial medical equipment and so on. Therefore, stringent international standard are imposed. Hence, harmonic reduction circuits are incorporated in PE system.

Earlier expensive bulky inductor and capacitor were installed but they effectively eliminated certain harmonic [1]. Active power line conditioners (APLC) used for harmonic reduction are generally hard switched, which result in low efficiency, low EMI, high component stress etc. Soft switched resonant converter are also used and are usually operated in variable frequency mode and thus component are required to be designed at lowest operating frequency [2]. Active clamped technique is well known for zero voltage switching (ZVS) operation in various converters. Boost converter topology in continues conduction mode (CCM) is used in medium power AC-DC converter, as it gives near unity power factor at ac input.

In this paper, three converters techniques—namely AC side switching, Series parallel resonant (LCC) converter and Active clamped boost converter (ACBC) are studied and based on simulation results, their performance for Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) is evaluated. In AC side control technique (fig.1), single switch with L-C pair is used. Duty cycle of the switch is varied like rectified cosine function for the input current wave-shaping. Series parallel resonant converter (SPRC) shown in fig. 2; has input diode line rectifier followed by high frequency (HF) inverter, LCC resonant tank circuit, HF transformer and high frequency diode rectifier. To regulate load voltage, switching frequency (above resonance) is varied and ZVS is achieved. In Active clamped boost converter (ACBC) topology shown in fig.3 two switches are driven in complementary manner and average current mode control technique is used for input current wave shaping. These circuits are designed for same power and voltage level and simulated in PSIM software. The simulation results under varying load condition with load voltage regulation are presented. The results show that among the topologies under study, Active clamped boost converter topology has lowest input line current THD.

2. OPERATION OF CONVERTERS

AC Side Control Converter

In this converter single switch is driven by rectangular gate pulse with continuously varying duty cycle. Duty cycle varies from maximum to minimum as the supply voltage sweeps from zero to maximum. Variation in duty cycle is like a rectified cosine function. When switch S is ON provides an alternative path when all the rectifier diodes are reverse biased. The rectifier conducts when switch S is OFF.

Series Parallel Resonant Converter

In SPRC, HF full bridge inverter is operated with gating pulses with duty ratio of 0.5. The switches are operated above resonance to achieve ZVS. To regulate load
voltage, switching frequency ($f_s$) is increased with the decrease in load.

**Active Clamped Boost Converter**

In ACBC, both the switches are driven in complementary manner. Gating pulses, based on average current mode control, are generated. In average current mode control, boost inductor ($L_b$) current is continuously monitored and controlled to follow the reference signal proportional to input AC line voltage. Thus, input current is sinusoidal. To maintain constant load voltage, control circuit senses load voltage and automatically reduces the pulse width in switching cycle with decrease in load. Duty cycle is continuously varied over the input supply voltage cycle.

![Fig. 1. Single phase rectifier with switching on ac side](image1)

**3. DESIGN OF CONVERTERS**

All the three converters are designed for the specifications:

- Input rms voltage ($V_{in}$) = 220V, 50 Hz
- Output voltage ($V_o$) = 370V
- Average output power ($P_o$) = 1.37kW.

The design procedure for AC side control is outlined in [3], for SPRC in [4], and for ACBC topology in [5]. Accordingly the converter components are designed and are as follows:

- **AC Side Control Converter:**
  - $f_s = 5$ kHz, $L=100$mH, $C=101.32$ µF.

- **SPRC:**
  - Series resonance frequency ($f_{res}$) =43.36 kHz, Switching frequency ($f_s$) = 50 kHz, $L_s=415.226$ µH, $C_s=0.03244$ µF, $C_r=0.0458$ µF.

- **ACBC:**
  - $L_s= 850$µH, $L_r= 8.624$µH, $C_r=0.47$µF, $C_c=1.1$µF.

**4. SIMULATION RESULTS**

All the three circuit are simulated in PSIM software. Fig.4 shows the simulated input current ($i_{in}$), input AC voltage ($V_{ac}$), harmonic spectra of input current and load voltage ($V_o$) for AC side control converter and Figures 5 - 6 indicate same for SPRC and ACBC respectively.

Table-I shows variation in % load, % THD, output voltage ($V_o$), switching frequency ($f_s$) for AC side control converter. From Table-I, it is observed that selection of very high switching frequency does not result in substantial improvement in THD. Table- II shows variation in % load, % THD, output voltage ($V_o$), switching frequency ($f_s$) for the different converters under study. From Table-II it is evident that ACBC has minimum THD and good load voltage regulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$f_s$ in kHz</th>
<th>%THD in %</th>
<th>$V_o$ in volt</th>
<th>%THD in %</th>
<th>$V_o$ in volt</th>
<th>%THD in %</th>
<th>$V_o$ in volt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>17.55</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>27.75</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>27.71</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig.3 Circuit diagram for single-phase soft switched boost type active clamped ac–dc converter with control scheme](image3)
Fig. 4 Simulated waveforms for AC side control converter at full load
(a) \( i_{ac} \) & \( \frac{\text{Var}}{20} \) (b) harmonic spectra of \( i_{ac} \) (c) output voltage \( (V_0) \)

Fig. 5 Simulated waveforms for SPPC at full load
(a) \( V_{ac} \) & \( i_{ac} \) (b) harmonic spectra of \( i_{ac} \) (c) output voltage \( (V_0) \)
5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, AC side controlled converter, SPRC, and ACBC are evaluated for the input current harmonics under varying load condition with load voltage regulation. All the converters are designed for same basic specification. PSIM simulation results are presented. It is observed that for AC side control converter, very high switching frequency does not result in substantial improvement in THD. From the simulation results, it is evident that ACBC has minimum THD and good load voltage regulation.
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## TABLE II

Variation of %THD, switching frequency and output voltage during different load conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of full load</th>
<th>AC side control converter</th>
<th>SPRC</th>
<th>ACBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% THD</td>
<td>$v_\text{in}$ in volt</td>
<td>$f_\text{in kHz}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115%</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66%</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>