




Renewable Energy & Power Quality Journal

(RE&PQJ)

ISSN 2172-038X



## Statement on Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice

**RE&PQJ Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement** is based, in large part, on the guidelines and standards developed by the **Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)**. We expect all parties commit to these publication ethics. We do not tolerate plagiarism or other unethical behavior and will remove any manuscript that does not meet these standards. The relevant responsibilities of authors, reviewers, and editors are set out below:

### Authors Responsibilities

The authors must guarantee that their manuscripts are their original work.  
The authors must guarantee that the manuscript has not previously been published elsewhere.  
The authors must guarantee that they have written entirely original work.  
The authors must guarantee that the manuscript is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.  
The authors must notify us of any conflicts of interest.  
The authors must identify all sources used in the creation of their manuscript.  
The authors must report any errors they discover in their manuscript.  
If the work involves chemical, animals, procedures or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent in their use, the authors must clearly identify these in the manuscript.

### Reviewers Responsibilities

The reviewers of the RE&PQJ Scientific Committee must notify us of any conflicts of interest.  
The reviewers of the RE&PQJ Scientific Committee must keep information pertaining to the manuscript confidential.  
The reviewers of the RE&PQJ Scientific Committee must ensure that authors have acknowledged all sources of data used in the research. Any kind of similarity between the manuscript and other published paper, must be immediately brought in to notice to the Chief Editor.  
The reviewers of the RE&PQJ Scientific Committee must bring to the attention of the Chief Editor any other information that may be reason to reject publication of a manuscript.  
The reviewers of the RE&PQJ Scientific Committee must at any time evaluate manuscripts only for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.  
The reviewer of the RE&PQJ Scientific Committee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify us and excuse himself from the review process.

### Editorial Board and Chief Editor Responsibilities

Based on the review report of the peer review process, Chief Editor has the right to accept or reject the manuscript, or can send it to the authors for the modifications.  
The Editorial Board must keep information pertaining to submitted manuscripts confidential.  
The Editorial Board must disclose any conflicts of interest.  
The Editorial Board must evaluate manuscripts only for their intellectual content.  
The Editorial Board is responsible for making publication decisions for submitted manuscripts.

Manuel Pérez Donsión  
Chief Editor